

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 vvvcw.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/002,953	10/23/2001	Lawrence E. Felton	2550/117	5466		
2101	7590 06/01/2004		EXAMINER			
BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP			CULBERT, ROBERTS P			
125 SUMMER	R STREET A 02110-1618		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DOSTON, NET OFFICE TOTO			1763	1763		

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/002,95	3	FELTON ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Roberts C		1763				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communicate Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ldress			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for re	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. days, a reply within the stat tory period will apply and will by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>22 <i>April 2004</i></u> .						
,—	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *)⊠ This action is r						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims	, , ,						
-		nlication						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
,	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) 10-12 is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the		_					
10) 🔲	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority december 2. Certified copies of the priority december 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been ocuments have been the priority documents all Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this Nationa	l Stage			
Attachmen			F-1					
<i>'</i> ==	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [• •				
3) X Infor	ne of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Promation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or For No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/04</u> .		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/002,953

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's information disclosure statement filed on 4/22/04 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5668,033 to Ohara et al.

Regarding Claim 1, Ohara teaches a method for capping a Micro-Electromechanical System (MEMS) device, comprising: forming a cap structure (33) having a bottom side with at least a MEMS cavity, a cut capture cavity surrounding the MEMS cavity and a cap wall (23, and/or 24), the cap wall forming an outer wall of the MEMS cavity and an inner wall of the cut capture cavity; bonding the cap wall onto a MEMS structure (Fig 12); and cutting through to the cut capture cavity from a top side of the cap structure to form a cap. (Col. 9, Lines 7-11)

Regarding Claim 2, Ohara teaches that forming the cap structure comprises forming the MEMS cavity and the cut capture cavity into the bottom side of the cap structure. (Fig 11.)

Regarding Claim 3, Ohara teaches that forming the MEMS cavity and the cut capture cavity into the bottom side of the cap structure comprises: etching the MEMS cavity and the cut capture cavity into the bottom side of the cap structure. (Col. 6, Lines 20-25)

Regarding Claim 4, Ohara teaches that forming the cap structure comprises forming the cap wall (24) onto the bottom side of the cap structure (Fig 11), the cap wall forming the outside wall of the MEMS cavity and the inside wall of the cut capture cavity.

Regarding Claim 5, Ohara teaches that forming the cap wall onto the bottom side of the cap structure comprises depositing a cap wall material (24) onto the bottom side of the cap structure to form the cap wall. (Col. 6, Lines 28-29)

Regarding Claim 6, Ohara teaches that bonding the cap wall onto the MEMS structure comprises: applying a bonding material (24) to a bottom side of the cap wall; (Col. 6, Lines 28-30) and bonding the bottom side of the cap wall onto the MEMS structure. (Col. 7, Lines 34-45)

Regarding Claim 13, Ohara teaches cutting through to the cut capture cavity from the topside of the cap structure to form the cap using a precision cutting technique. (Col. 9, Lines 7-64) Note that other dicing methods such as etching and laser ablation are well known in the art.

Regarding Claim 14, Ohara teaches that the cap structure (33) comprises a silicon material. (Col. 6, Line 20)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,953

Art Unit: 1763

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5668,033 to Ohara et al. as applied above, and in further view of EP 1096564 A1 to Denton et al.

As applied above, Ohara teaches the method of the invention substantially as claimed, but does not teach bonding the cap wall to the MEMS structure using a glass frit material.

Regarding Claim 7, Denton et al. teaches a method of capping a MEMS device comprising bonding a silicon wafer cap to a semiconductor substrate using a glass frit material.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use frit glass bonding material in place of the gold film material of Ohara to bond the cap to the MEMS structure since Denton et al. teaches that frit glass and gold are equivalent for this purpose. See (Col. 3, Lines 9-10) of Denton et al.

Regarding Claim 8, Denton et al. teaches that applying the bonding material to the bottom side of the cap wall comprises: screen printing a glass frit material onto the bottom side of the cap wall; (Col. 2, Lines 50-52) burning off organic compounds of the glass frit material; (Col. 2, Lines 55-56) and glazing the remaining glass frit material to form the glass material. (Col. 2, Line 56- Col. 3, Line 2)

Regarding Claim 9, Denton et al. teaches that bonding the bottom side of the cap wall onto the MEMS structure comprises: bonding the bottom side of the cap wall onto the MEMS structure under pressure at a temperature sufficient to melt (flow) the glass material. (Note that heat and contact pressure are sufficient to cause the frit glass to flow. (Col. 2, Line 56- Col. 3, Line 2)

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 10/002,953

Art Unit: 1763

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Roberts Culbert whose telephone number is (571) 272-1433. The examiner can normally

be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Gregory Mills can be reached on (571) 272-1439. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. Culbert

M. Cullet

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700